

PNW-330

March 1979

RESPONSE TO UREA AND AMMONIUM NITRATE FERTILIZATION IN AN 80-YEAR-OLD DOUGLAS-FIR STAND

by Richard E. Miller, Principal Soil Scientist

and Constance A. Harrington, Research Forester

Abstract

Volume growth response to 200 lb of nitrogen per acre applied as urea or ammonium nitrate was monitored for 4 yr in an 80-yr-old, site I, Douglas-fir stand. Fertilization increased gross total cubic growth by 20 percent over the controls. Response to urea and to ammonium nitrate was similar. The rapid volume growth on the control plots, 342 ft³ per acre per year, plus the substantial increase in growth on the fertilized plots, indicate the desirability of delaying final harvest of this stand.

KEYWORDS: Nitrogen fertilizer response, increment (volume), urea, ammonium nitrate, silviculture, Douglas-fir, *Pseudotsuga menziesii*.

INTRODUCTION

Silvicultural treatments that increase growth in mature stands can be attractive financial investments because the initial costs and carrying charges can be quickly recovered from early harvest of high value products. In this Research Note, we present

the initial results of a trial in which nitrogen fertilization increased volume growth of an 80-yr-old, site I, Douglas-fir stand. We also compare the effects of urea with those of ammonium nitrate on volume growth.

>

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE | FOREST SERVICE

THE STUDY AREA AND TREATMENT

The study area was an unthinned, nearly pure Douglas-fir stand in the McCleary Experimental Forest¹ in western Washington (fig. 1). Basal area stocking averaged 111 percent of normal (McArdle et al. 1961) and site index (100-yr base) averaged 193 or low site I. The soil is Tebo gravelly loam; annual precipitation averages 66 inches.

We used 12 circular, 1/5-acre plots to compare three treatments: 200 lb of nitrogen per acre as urea, 200 lb of nitrogen per

acre as ammonium nitrate, and no nitrogen (control). We randomly assigned four plots to each treatment. Most stand characteristics were similar among treatments (table 1).

We applied the fertilizers by hand on April 13, 1973, to 1/2-acre plots centered on the 1/5-acre measurement plots; this provided a 30-ft-wide buffer. The weather during application was cloudy and cool; about an inch of rain fell during the 3 days following treatment. Thus, nitrogen losses by volatilization were probably minimized.

¹Maintained by the Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station in cooperation with Simpson Timber Company.



Figure 1.--A portion of the 80-yr-old, unthinned stand in the McCleary Experimental Forest.

Table 1--Initial stand characteristics of study plots in the McCleary Experimental Forest, per acre basis

| Characteristic | Treatment | | | |
|---|-----------|--------|------------------|---------|
| | Control | Urea | Ammonium nitrate | Average |
| Age (years) | 80 | 78 | 80 | 79 |
| Site index (100-year base) | 190 | 198 | 191 | 193 |
| Stems (number) | 159 | 121 | 135 | 138 |
| Average diameter ^{1/} (inches) | 19.3 | 21.3 | 21.0 | 20.5 |
| Basal area (square feet) | 324 | 298 | 324 | 315 |
| Volume (cubic feet) | 18,952 | 17,566 | 18,760 | 18,426 |
| Percent Douglas-fir | 99 | 98 | 99 | 99 |

^{1/}D.b.h. of the tree of mean basal area.

MEASUREMENTS AND ANALYSES

Our 12 study plots were some of the control plots of a thinning experiment that began in 1949 (Reukema and Piernaar 1973); consequently, we had prefertilization growth data. We remeasured the trees immediately before fertilization and 4 yr after fertilization. We measured diameter at breast height (d.b.h.) of all trees 1.6 in and larger and recorded their crown class and condition. We also determined the heights of nine trees on each plot; two-thirds of these trees had diameters greater than average d.b.h. Based on these height trees, we calculated an average tarif number and thus a volume equation to estimate total stem volume for each plot in each measurement year.

We examined the effect of treatment on 4-yr volume growth and mortality. Because growth and mortality prior to fertilization varied among the plots, we used covariance analysis to

adjust for these differences. We tested two variables as covariates: cubic-foot volume at the beginning of the study and periodic annual increment (p.a.i.) in basal area during a 14-yr period prior to fertilization. Insufficient height data during the prefertilization period precluded our using pretreatment volume growth as a covariate. The analyses using p.a.i. in basal area (pretreatment) as the covariate were more effective in reducing the effects of pretreatment differences among the plots. In the results of these analyses which follow, we used the 10-percent probability level ($P<0.10$) to judge differences as real or statistically significant. The orthogonal comparisons, control vs. fertilized and urea vs. ammonium nitrate, were used to separate treatment means.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Response to nitrogen fertilization was significant ($P<0.04$).

When treatment means were adjusted for differences in pretreatment growth rates, volume growth on the fertilized plots averaged 20 percent greater than that on the control plots (table 2). This equaled an average 4-yr increase of 280 ft³ per acre. There was no significant difference between response to urea and response to ammonium nitrate. Volume loss to mortality during the 4-yr period after fertilization varied greatly among the plots and treatments (table 2). Due to this variability, mortality losses were not significantly affected by treatment ($P < 0.68$).

This response to fertilization was somewhat lower than that measured in an earlier study of fertilization of individual trees in a thinned portion of the same stand (Miller and Reukema 1974). The six fertilizer treatments in that study increased average basal area growth 31 percent over the controls during a 5-year period after fertilization. Ammonium nitrate (300 lb of nitrogen per acre) increased basal area growth 53 percent, while urea (300 and 600 lb of nitrogen per acre) increased growth 31 and 22 percent. Due to the variability in response, however, only the ammonium nitrate treatment

differed significantly from the control.

The difference in fertilizer response measured in the two studies could be explained by a number of factors. For example, the individual tree study used six codominants for each treatment, whereas our study used 1/5-acre plots and thus had both greater tree numbers and crown class representation. The earlier study also used higher rates of nitrogen per acre. In addition, response to fertilization by individual trees is likely to be greater in a thinned stand than in an unthinned stand, because light is more likely to limit growth in an unthinned stand (Brix 1971).

Volume growth measured in this 80-yr-old stand was surprisingly large. Gross periodic annual growth on the four control plots averaged 342 ft³ per acre compared with reported estimates of 263 (Staebler 1955) and 287 (Curtis 1967) ft³ per acre for normal stands of the same site index and age. Having checked our procedures and calculations, we have no reason to doubt the accuracy of our growth estimates. Moreover, our estimates of stand volume and growth are supported by previous estimates (Reukema

Table 2--Mean annual volume growth and mortality in an 80-year-old, Douglas-fir stand during a 4-yr period after treatment, per acre basis ^{1/}

| Treatment | Volume growth ^{2/} | | Mortality ^{2/} Cubic feet |
|------------------|-----------------------------|---------|---------------------------------------|
| | Cubic feet | Percent | |
| Control | 342 | 100 | 75 |
| Urea | 409 | 119 | 140 |
| Ammonium nitrate | 415 | 121 | 65 |

^{1/} Cubic volume of the total stem of trees 1.6-in d.b.h. and larger.

^{2/} Means adjusted by covariance analysis.

and Pienaar 1973). For example, earlier periodic annual growth for these and other unthinned plots in this stand progressed from 263 ft³ per acre at age 56 to 285 ft³ at age 71. This trend of increasing volume growth over time indicates that gross growth in this stand has not yet culminated. Thus, delaying the final harvest of this stand is an attractive management option.

CONCLUSIONS

In this 80-yr-old stand of Douglas-fir:

1. Urea or ammonium nitrate applied at 200 lb of nitrogen per acre increased gross volume growth 20 percent over the controls during the first 4 years following treatment. This was an average increase 280 ft³ per acre.

2. Ammonium nitrate and urea provided the same gain in volume growth.

3. Fertilization did not significantly change the volume lost to mortality.

4. Gross volume growth of the control plots has not peaked; therefore, delaying final harvest should be evaluated.

Based on the results at this location and others,² we believe nitrogen fertilization is an efficient means for increasing total yields in mature Douglas-fir stands.

LITERATURE CITED

- Brix, H.
1971. Effects of nitrogen fertilization on photosynthesis and respiration in Douglas-fir. *For. Sci.* 17:407-414.
- Curtis, Robert O.
1967. A method of estimation of gross yield of Douglas-fir. *For. Sci. Monogr.* 13, 24 p.
- McArdle, Richard E., Walter H. Meyer, and Donald Bruce.
1961. The yield of Douglas-fir in the Pacific Northwest. U.S. Dep. Agric. Tech. Bull. 201, 74 p., illus.
- Miller, Richard E., and Donald L. Reukema.
1974. Seventy-five-year-old Douglas-fir on high-quality site respond to nitrogen fertilizer. USDA For. Ser. Res. Note PNW-237, 8 p. Pac. Northwest For. and Range Exp. Stn., Portland, Oreg.
- Reukema, Donald L., and Leon V. Pienaar.
1973. Yields with and without repeated commercial thinnings in a high-site-quality Douglas fir stand. USDA For. Serv. Res. Pap. PNW-155, 15 p. Pac. Northwest For. and Range Exp. Stn., Portland, Oreg.
- Staebler, George R.
1955. Gross yield and mortality tables for fully stocked stands of Douglas-fir. USDA For. Serv. Res. Pap. 14, 20 p. Pac. Northwest For. and Range Exp. Stn., Portland, Oreg.

Metric Equivalents

| |
|---|
| 1 square foot/acre = 0.2296 square meters/hectare |
| 1 cubic foot/acre = 0.06997 cubic meters/hectare |
| 1 stem/acre = 2.471 stems/hectare |
| 1 inch = 2.54 centimeters |

²Unpublished data on file at the Forestry Sciences Laboratory, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, Olympia, Washington.

The mission of the PACIFIC NORTHWEST FOREST AND RANGE EXPERIMENT STATION is to provide the knowledge, technology, and alternatives for present and future protection, management, and use of forest, range, and related environments.

Within this overall mission, the Station conducts and stimulates research to facilitate and to accelerate progress toward the following goals:

1. Providing safe and efficient technology for inventory, protection, and use of resources.
2. Developing and evaluating alternative methods and levels of resource management.
3. Achieving optimum sustained resource productivity consistent with maintaining a high quality forest environment.

The area of research encompasses Oregon, Washington, Alaska, and, in some cases, California, Hawaii, the Western States, and the Nation. Results of the research are made available promptly. Project headquarters are at:

Anchorage, Alaska
Fairbanks, Alaska
Juneau, Alaska
Bend, Oregon
Corvallis, Oregon

La Grande, Oregon
Portland, Oregon
Olympia, Washington
Seattle, Washington
Wenatchee, Washington

*Mailing address: Pacific Northwest Forest and Range
Experiment Station
P.O. Box 3141
Portland, Oregon 97208*

The FOREST SERVICE of the U.S. Department of Agriculture is dedicated to the principle of multiple use management of the Nation's forest resources for sustained yields of wood, water, forage, wildlife, and recreation. Through forestry research, cooperation with the States and private forest owners, and management of the National Forests and National Grasslands, it strives — as directed by Congress — to provide increasingly greater service to a growing Nation.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture is an Equal Opportunity Employer. Applicants for all Department programs will be given equal consideration without regard to age, race, color, sex, religion, or national origin.

CARNEGIE LIBRARY
JUN 4 - 1979
SUT. DOCS.